

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARTIN GARDNER REIFFIN,

No. C 11-03505 CRB

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING REQUEST FOR
RECONSIDERATION**

v.

MICROSOFT CORP. ET AL.,

Defendants.

In combination with his Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss filed by Defendants Gates and Ballmer, Plaintiff filed a “Request for Reconsideration of Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Filed by Defendant Microsoft Corp.” See *dk.* 62. Plaintiff’s filing is procedurally improper for several reasons. Most important, Local Rule 7-9(a) involves motions for reconsideration of interlocutory orders “[b]efore the entry of a judgment.” The Court has already entered judgment for Defendant Microsoft and against Plaintiff. See *dk.* 40.¹ Plaintiff is surely aware of this fact, as he has already appealed that judgment. See *dk.*

//

//

//

//

¹ Plaintiff also did not receive leave from the Court to file a motion for reconsideration, per Local Rule 7-9(a), nor did he satisfy any of the requirements of Local Rule 7-9(b).

1 43. Accordingly, the Request for Reconsideration is DENIED.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3
4 Dated: December 19, 2011



5 CHARLES R. BREYER
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28